

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/967,184	HEIKKILA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Traviss C. McIntosh (Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Michele Cimbala (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2005

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed claim amendments for claim 1 wherein the attached claim language as set forth in the examiner's amendment was agreed upon to be acceptable, and applicant's also authorized the attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)